



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,031	02/26/2004	John M. Vranish	GSC 14,480-1	7142
21872 7	590 09/28/2005		EXAMINER	
	DARD SPACE FLIGHT	JOYCE, WILLIAM C		
8800 GREENBELT ROAD, MAIL CODE 140.1 GREENBELT, MD 20771			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	,		3682	
				ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	J	<u>.</u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/789,031	VRANISH, JOHN M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William C. Joyce	3682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	ı <u>ly 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-18 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	e: a) \square accepted or b) \square drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawing.	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/789,031

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This is the First Office Action in response to the Election filed on July 13, 2005.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 10-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 13, 2005.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because they appear to be inaccurate. Figure 1 illustrates the gear section 104 having a larger outside diameter as compared to the outside diameter of gear section 102, however Figure 1C illustrates the gear section 104 having a smaller outside diameter as compared to the outside diameter of gear section 102. Further, the specification describes the gear section 104 as the "lower half," however Figure 1 illustrates the gear section 104 as the upper half. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/789,031

Art Unit: 3682

Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. Figure 7 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 4, 5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,031 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

a. In claim 4, the limitation "... both the first and second gear teeth are aligned at a right angle to the axis of rotation" is not fully understood. Referring to the Figures, it is understood the first and second gear teeth are offset to one another, however they do not appear to be positioned at a right angle with respect to one another.

- b. In claim 5, the limitation "... both the first and second gear teeth are aligned at an angle diagonal to the axis of rotation" is not fully understood.
- c. In claim 9, the limitation "the second gear teeth extend radially inwardly from the axis of rotation to form valley teeth" is not understood. It is suggested the claim be changed to -- the second gear teeth extend radially inwardly with respect to the axis of rotation to form valley teeth--

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/789,031 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

7. Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-14 of U. S. Patent No. 6,626,792 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a gear bearing having roll surfaces.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 9. Claims 1, 2, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aebersold (US Patent 3,093,007).

Aebersold illustrates in Figured 1 a transmission mechanism having an upper half (C2), comprising a plurality of first gear teeth and a first integrated roller surface having a first roller surface radius, the first roller surface being disposed between the outwardly extending gear teeth, and a lower half (C1), comprising a plurality of second

Art Unit: 3682

gear teeth and a second integrated roller surface having a second roller surface radius, the second roller surface being disposed between the inwardly extending gear teeth, wherein the first and second roller surface radii are equal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1, 2, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aebersold (US Patent 3,093,007).

In the event applicant argues Figure 1 of Aebersold is unclear with respect to the first and second roller surface radii being equal, an alternative rejection is given below.

Aebersold illustrates in Figure 1 an upper half (C2) and a lower half (C1) coaxially supported on a shaft (36), wherein the first and second roller surface radii appear to be equal. However, the written description does not specifically disclose the claimed roller surfaces having an equal radius. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the radius of the upper or lower gear sections, including the roller surfaces, motivation being to adjust the gear ratio of the transmission mechanism.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/789,031

Art Unit: 3682

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (571) 272-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on (571) 272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William O. Joyce 9/22/05